## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

VALERY WILLIAMS, :

Plaintiff: CIVIL ACTION

:

v. :

:

PHILADELPHIA WATER

DEPARTMENT, : No. 23-1728

Defendant :

<u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2023, upon consideration of Defendant City of Philadelphia's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 6) and Plaintiff Valery Williams's Responses (Doc. Nos. 7, 8), it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. The City of Philadelphia's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 6) is **GRANTED WITHOUT**PREJUDICE for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum.
- 2. If Ms. Williams seeks leave to amend her complaint, she must **FILE** a motion seeking leave to file an amended complaint within **60 days** of this Order; after 60 days, this case will be dismissed for failure to prosecute.<sup>1</sup>

BY THE COURT:

GENE E.K. PRATTER

United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>quot;[T]o request leave to amend a compliant, the plaintiff must submit a draft amended complaint to the court so that it can determine whether amendment would be futile." Fletcher-Harlee Corp. v. Pote Concrete Contractors, Inc., 482 F.3d 247, 252 (3d Cir. 2007).